THE CARDIAC SAFETY RESEARCH CONSORTIUM ECG WAREHOUSE: THOROUGH QT DATABASE SPECIFICATIONS AND PRINCIPLES OF USE FOR ALGORITHM DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING

Paul Kligfield, MD [a]

Cynthia L. Green, PhD [b]

Justin Mortara, PhD [c]

Philip Sager, MD [d]

Norman Stockbridge, MD, PhD [e]

Michael Li [e]

Joanne Zhang, PhD [e]

Samuel George, MD [f]

Ignacio Rodriguez, MD [g]

Daniel Bloomfield, MD [h]

Mitchell W. Krucoff, MD [b]

a. Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY

b. Duke University Medical Center and Duke Clinical Research Institute, Durham, NC

c. Mortara Instrument, Milwaukee, WI

d. Sager Consulting Partners, San Francisco, CA

e. Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, US Food and Drug Administration,

   Silver Spring, MD

f. NewCardio, Inc, Santa Clara, CA

h. Hoffmann-LaRoche, Inc, Nutley, NJ

g. Merck Research Laboratories, Merck and Co, Inc, Rahway, NJ

Correspondence to:

Paul Kligfield, MD

Weill Cornell Medical Center

525 East 68th Street, New York, NY 10065, USA

Phone:212-746-4686

Fax: 212-746-8473

pkligfi@med.cornell.edu

Suggested running title: CSRC ECG Warehouse Thorough QT Database

Indexing words: ECG, QT interval, thorough QT study, drug development, database

Abstract

This document examines the formation, structure, and principles guiding the use of ECG datasets obtained during thorough QT (TQT) studies that have been derived from the ECG Warehouse of the Cardiac Safety Research Consortium (CSRC). These principles are designed to preserve the fairness and public interest of access to these data, commensurate with the mission of the CSRC. The datasets comprise anonymized XML formatted digitized ECGs and descriptive variables from placebo and positive control arms of individual studies previously submitted on a proprietary basis to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) by pharmaceutical sponsors. Sponsors permit the release of these studies into the public domain through the CSRC on behalf of the FDA's Critical Path Initiative and public health interest. For algorithm research protocols submitted to and approved by CSRC, unblinded "training" ECG datasets are provided for algorithm development and for initial evaluation, while separate blinded "testing" datasets are used for formal algorithm evaluation in cooperation with the CSRC according to methods detailed in this document.

This document details the derivation and structure of CSRC ECG datasets from thorough QT (TQT) Studies originating in the FDA ECG Warehouse and describes the principles guiding the use of these datasets by the Cardiac Safety Research Consortium (CSRC). These principles are designed to ensure the scientific credibility of new algorithm assessment testing and preserve transparently the fairness and public interest of access to these data, commensurate with the mission of the CSRC (1,2).

Background of the CSRC ECG Warehouse

The CSRC is a public-private partnership, developed within the Critical Path Initiative of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through a memo of understanding between the FDA and the Duke Clinical Research Institute (DCRI) (1,3). CSRC brings together stakeholder constituencies from the FDA, academia, and industry to focus on cardiac safety issues pertinent to new drug and device development. One of the original goals of the CSRC was the development and scientific use of a large, centralized ECG repository (the ECG Warehouse, developed by FDA with hardware and software support from Mortara Instrument, Milwaukee, WI). Data in the ECG warehouse include proprietary digital ECG recordings from thorough QT (TQT) and related studies conducted in the course of drug development and post-market surveillance by industry sponsors. The FDA's ECG Warehouse currently holds more than

4,000,000 ECGs that can be linked with descriptive study variables such as age, gender, and drug treatment group.

The ECG Warehouse data are owned by the entities, generally pharmaceutical company manufacturers, that submit these data to the FDA. Release of the ECG data to the CSRC for public domain access by investigators, equipment manufacturers and algorithm developers was obtained with permission from the data owners as a collaborative effort of scientific goodwill on behalf of the public health. In conjunction with the release of the ECG datasets to the CSRC, a Scientific Oversight Committee (SOC) within CSRC developed processes supporting the submission and evaluation of proposals for use of the released ECG data, also intended to foster collaboration within the scientific research community and across stakeholders. The initial focus of these protocols was to foster efforts to develop better surrogate markers for evaluation of electrophysiological safety, in particular algorithms that could more accurately, efficiently, or automatically detect QT prolongation signals from an active compound (such as moxifloxacin) compared to placebo exposure. To maintain an equitable and scientifically responsible governance of the ECG Warehouse, individual research protocols cleared by the SOC are approved by the CSRC Executive Committee before ECG data are released.

ECG Datasets from Thorough QT Studies

Most of the data in the ECG Warehouse are waveforms collected from thorough QT (TQT) studies performed in the early clinical course of new drug

evaluation in healthy volunteers (4-7). Currently available CSRC ECG datasets are comprised of anonymized digital XML waveforms and key descriptive data from complete baseline, placebo and moxifloxacin periods of representative thorough QT (TQT) studies. These data do not include information from any sponsor's proprietary drug.

The CSRC intends that these anonymized ECG datasets will be used for research that includes ECG algorithm testing and new ECG biomarker development for risk assessment, using changes associated with moxifloxacin (or other positive controls) compared to placebo as the surrogate marker. It is recognized that the effects of positive control drugs on the ECG may differ from other markers of arrhythmogenicity or other risk (8-10). In the future, other datasets, including populations with diseases under study and with alternative drug effects, are expected to be made available for evaluation, using access and governance processes similar to those described here for the TQT datasets.

A CSRC Data Management Committee (DMC) was established to develop standards for quality control and for performance scoring and publication of data derived from the ECG Warehouse. Like all CSRC committees, the DMC includes representatives from academia, industry and the FDA. For quality control, the DMC was mandated to assemble the ECG datasets, to verify that the digitized ECG waveforms can be used for research, and to match the clinical data provided with waveforms using the ECG Warehouse unique identifiers. The CSRC DMC also establishes the integrity of each individual ECG dataset with vetting methods that are described below. The statistical basis of performance

evaluation and guidelines for dissemination of results to the public through peer review reporting are also described below.

In order to protect patients' rights and sponsors' proprietary rights, only de-identified, fully anonymized data are provided to investigators for CSRC SOC approved projects. Subject identifiers are stripped from each dataset as are true dates, sponsor identification, site, ECG core lab, and ECG device information from the original FDA submission. Unique identifiers (UIDs) are assigned to each ECG in the warehouse. The technique used to generate the UIDs does not allow determination of how the UIDs were generated but does provide a link between the annotated ECG waveform in the ECG Warehouse and available descriptive data.

To date, data from eight TQT studies have been released by their sponsors to the CSRC for assembly and vetting; two learning and one testing dataset are available for use from CSRC as of August, 2010. Datasets range in size from 2,425 to 14,128 digitized ECGs per study, in populations that range in size from 31 to 181 subjects. For larger studies the CSRC may provide, along with the full datasets, randomized smaller subsets of data comprised of 20 to 50 subjects based on study population size and study design in each of the placebo and positive control study arms of the learning datasets; these subsets will reflect the sex and age distribution of the original population. These subsets will allow more robust testing of measurement algorithms using simulated populations with smaller numbers of subjects as an additional estimate of algorithm performance

over a range of population variations, as well as providing a modality for enhanced "head to head" comparison of various algorithm methods.

Dataset Formation, Integrity, and Vetting

The ECG Warehouse provides support for the evaluation and testing of ECG algorithms that relate to cardiac safety, using placebo and positive control digitized ECG waveforms obtained in the course of thorough QT (TQT) studies that have been released to the CSRC. Structurally the CSRC will maintain a distinct partition between publicly released ECG waveforms and descriptor data ("unblinded" or "training" datasets) and waveforms released without descriptor data ("blinded" or "testing" datasets). This allows investigators of CSRC SOC approved proposals to pursue further algorithm development and refinement within specified "training" datasets, while undergoing more scientifically rigorous and valid performance testing in the unique "testing" datasets.

When the data are used to develop a new algorithm or to modify an existing algorithm, development will be performed using unblinded "training datasets." All reporting of algorithm performance derived from the unblinded data will be clearly identified as unblinded "training" results. When an algorithm is already developed, it may be evaluated in a separate blinded "testing dataset" process for performance validation. Algorithm performance will only be considered definitive when reports are based on independent assessments from the blinded "testing" datasets provided by the CSRC.

Prior to any public release for SOC approved proposals, the CSRC DMC provides a structure for vetting the data quality. Once a sponsor has agreed to the release study information by FDA to the CSRC, the FDA links the ECG waveforms to the designated clinical data, all of which are electronically transferred to the CSRC. The CSRC DMC is responsible for assembling the datasets, which are then passed to the Duke Clinical Research Institute (DCRI) for uniquely identified secure, password-protected storage. The assembled data from each released study are then validated according to the following processes:

- ECG Waveform Checking:

    1. Random XML waveforms are reviewed by a physician to confirm realistic signal analyzability

    2. The number of XML waveforms are verified to match the number of ECGs in the sponsor-submitted clinical dataset

    3. Waveform UIDs are verified to match the original clinical dataset UIDs

- Clinical Dataset Checking:

    1. File size and number of records are confirmed

    2. Missing data are identified and verified as missing

    3. Frequencies and ranges of selected variables are reviewed

    4. Anonymity of data is confirmed

- Waveform and Clinical Cross Data Checking:

1. The double-delta QTcF (placebo- and baseline-adjusted QTcF) from sponsor-submitted moxifloxacin or other positive control measurements are qualitatively compared with measurements from a fully automated commercial algorithm

The purpose of the comparison between sponsor-submitted data and the mesurements from a fully automated algorithm is to confirm similar directional and timing trends of the expected positive effect as a quality control measure for assembly of the dataset. Neither the sponsor measurements nor those of the automated algorithm used for this confirmation are considered to be gold standards for QT measurements per se.

Once vetted, the CSRC DMC will distribute the assembled datasets as either "training" or "testing" datasets. The "training" datasets are comprised of the XML digital ECG waveforms from the ECG Warehouse along with key descriptive sponsor data that can be merged and matched according to the UIDs. The descriptive data formats are archived in both SAS and Excel formats. The anonymized sponsor data include variables such as treatment (baseline, placebo or positive control), sex, age, race, ECG date, study design, study day, nominal and actual ECG time, replicate number, sponsor-reported ECG parameters (QT, RR, PR, QRS, etc.), and other available common elements. In addition to removing all study and subject identifiers, the ECG dates are further de-identified by redefining all studies to start on January 1, 1960. The "testing" datasets supplied to public investigators will include only the XML digital ECG waveforms, which can be matched with the original descriptor data for analysis of algorithm

performance only by the CSRC DMC.   Investigators will submit their algorithm measurements to the CSRC DMC to assess the "blinded" algorithm performance in the testing datasets.

Algorithm Development and Testing: Further Detail on "Training" Datasets

For algorithm development, the outcome of the examination of the ECG warehouse "training" datasets will be new or more refined criteria. These "training" datasets are provided to public investigators completely "open label," including waveforms descriptors and specification of the treatment group (baseline, placebo, moxifloxacin). It is expected that if unblinded datasets are made available to public investigators by the CSRC, that the investigators will agree to submit the derived algorithm measurements to the CSRC DMC using the independent blinded "algorithm testing" process described below, which will then represent the true "tested performance" of the algorithm for public reporting. As a key corollary condition of using data from the ECG Warehouse, in any presentation or publication relating to performance of the derived algorithm from the unblinded datasets, public investigators must agree to define clearly the "training" nature of the study.

Another critical aspect relating to optimal use of the ECG Warehouse is the recognition that the standard made accessible through these data is that of an ECG-based assay sensitivity for moxifloxacin or other positive QT signal detection. This is not necessarily a gold standard for the actual ECG interval measurements. Thus, while core laboratory measurements of QT and other

intervals from the original FDA submission are made available to public investigators as part of the open label training datasets, direct comparisons of QT or other interval measurements between core laboratory measurements and a new algorithm under testing are scientifically unjustified, since there is no gold standard for individual waveform measurements per se. An example of sponsor-submitted TQT data as re-analyzed by the CSRC from one of the "learning" datasets by an automated algorithm for global measurement of the Fredericia-corrected QT interval (QTcF) is shown in Figure 1. Note that while neither set of measurements is proposed to be a "gold standard" for the underlying measurements, both methods identify similar time and magnitude related changes in the double-delta findings for placebo- and baseline-adjusted QTcF response to moxifloxacin. This same principle is incorporated into the blinded "test set" reporting, as detailed below.

It is hoped and encouraged that investigators will publish the details of the algorithm and results of the training process in such a way that the methodology can be understood or learned from by others. The "training" ECG datasets may also be used by public investigators who have already derived established algorithms, but in this situation it will still be agreed that testing of algorithm performance solely in an unblinded series will not be considered or publicly represented as definitive.

Algorithm Performance in Blinded "Testing" Datasets

Prior to formal algorithm testing, the algorithm and test criteria ordinarily will have been established using one or more "learning" datasets, or separately in previous studies by its developer. One or more "testing" datasets will be released to the investigator after SOC approval of the protocol and disclosure of the algorithm criteria with the understanding that the results of the analysis will be made available to the public, either through publication in the peer review literature, through tabulation on the CSRC website, or both. The investigator may choose whether or not to reveal the specific methodology of their algorithm in their publication.

To test either established or novel algorithms for QT or related interval measurements, public investigators will be supplied only ECG waveforms remaining blinded to all descriptors including time of ECG and drug treatment (placebo or positive control). ECG findings of the tested algorithm will be processed and tabulated by the algorithm developer and returned to the CSRC for unblinding, including compilation of the investigators waveform measurements with drug treatment group, time sequence and relevant descriptors. Once compiled, analysis of "assay sensitivity" for detection of QT prolongation associated with positive control exposure is conducted by the CSRC statistician according to standard double-delta methodology. Analysis up to this point thus determines whether the public investigator's algorithm performance meets assay sensitivity standards at least to the level of ICH E14 guidelines (4).

Comparison of assay sensitivity between the original FDA data submission (generally from a core laboratory methodology) and the novel

algorithm developed by the public investigator will be defined exclusively using the blinded "testing" database outcome. Such comparison will be performed by the CSRC statistician, both within the total TQT dataset and also within smaller randomized subsets or "simulation" populations within each TQT study.

With respect to TQT, primary measurements of algorithm performance will include baseline-adjusted QTcF (dQTcF) and baseline- and placebo-adjusted QTcF (ddQTcF) directional and timing trends, as well as the mean and standard deviations of the dQTcF and ddQTcF differences between the FDA-submitted (core laboratory) data and measurements generated by the tested algorithm of the public investigator. Non-QT interval data extracted by developers from the testing datasets may also be analyzed by CSRC in a similar manner. The formal statistical comparisons of FDA-submitted and public investigator algorithm-generated blinded measurements will include:

- Bland-Altman plots of dQT/dRR/dQTcF, including mean difference and standard deviation of differences.

- 90% confidence intervals (CI) for dQTcF by treatment group at each time point, using raw means and standard deviations plotted over time.

- 90% confidence intervals (CI) for ddQTcF at each treatment time point, using raw means and standard deviations plotted over time.

- dQTcF variability, including the within-subject and between-subject variances obtained from a mixed repeated measures statistical model adjusted for no less than the baseline QTcF, time, treatment, and time by treatment interaction.

- Within-subject mean QTcF variance and 90% confidence intervals (CI) by treatment group based on replicates at each time point over the whole time course for each subject. A paired t-test will be used to test the null hypothesis that the mean algorithm QTcF variance is the same as the mean core lab QTcF variance.

- Theoretical statistical power and sample size using bootstrap simulations. Random selections with replacement over a range of sample sizes will be used to observe the average statistics within smaller populations, such as average time of the maximum moxifloxacin effect, average maximum effect, average within-subject and between-subject variances. The number of times that assay sensitivity is successfully detected across all bootstrapping procedures for a given sample size (power) will also be evaluated. The power curves will show the minimum theoretical number of subjects that would be required to achieve 80% power for each analysis method.

Rules of Engagement

As a public-private partnership, the CSRC operates primarily along the lines of collaborative, transparent programs across stakeholders, in good faith and on behalf of the public health. Along those lines, the CSRC and its DMC committee are charged to manage the ECG Warehouse fairly, responsibly and in a manner that supports good science to advance the accuracy and efficiency of QT measurement for cardiac safety reporting in drug development. Thus, on

behalf of SOC approved research projects using the ECG Warehouse, the "rules of engagement" document supplied to all public investigators specifies that the distinctly different "training" and "testing" processes and results will be clearly distinguished in any presentation of algorithm performance. Specifically, the SOC intends that criteria developed by adapting algorithm test criteria to the "training" database not be considered to represent "tested performance" of the final algorithm, and that algorithms developed from the "training" database be rigorously and prospectively evaluated in the independent, blinded "testing" database. Standardized use of the blinded testing datasets will allow meaningful comparisons of assay sensitivity and other key elements of test performance between unique algorithms.

To support the principles above, the CSRC will maintain a partition between publicly released ECG waveforms with complete descriptor data ("unblinded training" datasets) and waveforms released without descriptor data ("blinded testing" datasets). Copying or supplying these data for other manufacturers or projects not previously approved by the CSRC is strictly prohibited. All reporting of findings using unblinded data from the "training" datasets will be clearly identified by investigators as unblinded "training" results. Investigators will agree only to define and report final, validated algorithm performance based on results from blinded data analyses processed from measurements returned to the CSRC for formal performance evaluation.

Access to the ECG Warehouse

Proposals for use of data from the ECG Warehouse can be submitted to

the SOC using the proposal format on the CSRC website ([www.cardiac-](www.cardiac-safety.org)

[safety.org](www.cardiac-safety.org)). ECG waveforms from the warehouse will be made available for

public investigators of SOC approved projects. For both unblinded "training" and

blinded "testing" TQT datasets, waveform release has been designed so that

algorithm developers can execute their own algorithms without the necessity to

release proprietary measurement strategies to the CSRC or any other outside

agency. For blinded dataset performance evaluation (scoring) of endpoints, such

as moxifloxacin signal detection, algorithm developer's measurements from

blinded data ECG waveforms will be submitted to the CSRC statistician where

they will be matched to descriptors including treatment assignments (placebo vs.

moxifloxacin), and analysis results will be returned to sponsors. Statistical

analysis of both assay sensitivity and for comparisons between measurements

submitted to FDA by the original sponsor and measurements provided by the

SOC-approved investigator will be reported as detailed above. A nominal fee will

be charged for access and use of the blinded data set to cover the CSRC costs

associated with the maintenance of the ECG dataset and the algorithm scoring of

the blinded dataset.

Publication/Dissemination of Algorithm Performance

Publication or dissemination of algorithm performance will be expected from all

investigators and algorithm developers using the blinded dataset(s).

Commitments to public domain information release will be obtained at the time of

SOC research project approval for use of these datasets, and resulting reports will be expected to be delivered by database users in a format that is publicly available. Database users will also agree that any publication or dissemination of results from unblinded study cohorts will clearly indicate that the performance results represent only unblinded "training set" data and hence does not constitute a validated performance assessment of the algorithm under study.

Summary

Placebo and active control ECG waveforms in XML format that have been submitted to the FDA as part of thorough QT (TQT) studies and subsequently released by their sponsors are available for research purposes from the CSRC. Further information regarding access to the ECG datasets may be obtained from the CSRC at www.cardiac-safety.org.

References

1. CSRC Scientific Rationale and Mission Statement. http://www.cardiac-safety.org/about-us , accessed June 10, 2010

2. CSRC ECG Warehouse Database Use Statement of Principles. http://www.cardiac-safety.org/ecg-database-tool-kit/Data%20Agreement.pdf/view , accessed June 10, 2010

3 http://www.fda.gov/ScienceResearch/SpecialTopics/CriticalPathInitiative/default.htm, accessed 08/08/10

4. Food and Drug Administration, HHS. International Conference on Harmonisation; guidance on E14 Clinical Evaluation of QT/QTc Interval Prolongation and Proarrhythmic Potential for Non-Antiarrhythmic Drugs; availability. Notice. Fed Regist. 2005;70(202):61134-5.

5. Shah RR. Drugs, QTc interval prolongation and final ICH E14 guideline : an important milestone with challenges ahead. Drug Saf. 2005;28:1009-28.

6. Zhang J, Machado SG. Statistical issues including design and sample size calculation in thorough QT/QTc studies. J Biopharm Stat. 2008;18:451-67.

7. Darpo B. The thorough QT/QTc study 4 years after the implementation of the ICH E14 guidance. Br J Pharmacol. 2010;159:49-57.

8. Couderc JP, Zareba W, Moss AJ, Sarapa N, Morganroth J, Darpo B. Identification of sotalol-induced changes in repolarization with T wave area-based repolarization duration parameters. J Electrocardiol. 2003;36 Suppl:115-20.

9. Sarapa N, Morganroth J, Couderc JP, Francom SF, Darpo B, Fleishaker JC, McEnroe JD, Chen WT, Zareba W, Moss AJ. Electrocardiographic identification of drug-induced QT prolongation: assessment by different recording and measurement methods. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2004;9:48-57.

10. Xue J, Gao W, Chen Y, Han X. Identify drug-induced T wave morphology changes by a cell-to-electrocardiogram model and validation with clinical trial data. J Electrocardiol. 2009;42:534-42.

Figure 1: Proof of concept: Placebo- and baseline-adjusted double-delta QTcF (ddQTcF) response to moxifloxacin in CSRC "learning" dataset Study 4, by sponsor-submitted measurements and by CSRC re-analysis of ECG Warehouse waveforms using a fully automated algorithm for global QT measurement The new measurements are similar in direction and timing with the results of the initial sponsor study.